arXiv:1402.3368v1 [math.OA] 14 Feb 2014

2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

SHAVKAT AYUPOV AND KARIMBERGEN KUDAYBERGENOV

ABSTRACT. The paper is devoted to the description of 2-local derivations on von Neumann algebras. Earlier it was proved that every 2-local derivation on a semi-finite von Neumann algebra is a derivation. In this paper, using the analogue of Gleason Theorem for signed measures, we extend this result to type III von Neumann algebras. This implies that on arbitrary von Neumann algebra each 2-local derivation is a derivation.

Keywords: von Neumann algebra; derivation; 2-local derivation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a linear operator $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a *derivation*, if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ (the Leibniz rule). Each element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ implements a derivation D_a on $\mathcal{A}$ defined as $D_a(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Such derivations D_a are said to be *inner derivations*. Recall that a map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ (not linear in general) is called a 2-*local derivation* if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$ and $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$.

The notion of 2-local derivations it was introduced in 1997 by P. Šemrl [10] and in this paper he described 2-local derivations on the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H. A similar description for the finite-dimensional case appeared later in [7]. In the paper [8] 2-local derivations have been described on matrix algebras over finite-dimensional division rings.

In [1] we suggested a new technique and have generalized the above mentioned results of [7] and [10] for arbitrary Hilbert spaces. Namely we considered 2-local derivations on the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on an arbitrary (no separability is assumed) Hilbert space H and proved that every 2-local derivation on $B(H)$ is a derivation. A similar result for 2-local derivations on finite von Neumann algebras was obtained in [2]. Finally, in [3] the authors extended all above results and give a short proof of this result for arbitrary semi-finite von Neumann algebras.

In the present paper we prove that for any purely infinite von Neumann algebra M every 2-local derivation on M is a derivation. This completes the solution of the above problem for arbitrary von Neumann algebras. Our proof is essentially based on the analogue of Gleason theorem for signed measures on projection of von Neumann algebras.

2. MAIN RESULT

It is known [9] that any derivation D on a von Neumann algebra M is an inner derivation, that is there exists an element $a \in M$ such that

$$D(x) = D_a(x) = ax - xa$$

for all $x \in M$. Therefore for a von Neumann algebra M the above definition of 2-local derivation is equivalent to the following one: A map $\Delta : M \to M$ is called a 2-local derivation, if for any two elements $x, y \in M$ there exists an element $a \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(x) = ax - xa,\ \Delta(y) = ay - ya.$$

If $\Delta : M \to M$ is a 2-local derivation, then from the definition it easily follows that Δ is homogenous. At the same time,

$$\Delta(x^2) = \Delta(x)x + x\Delta(x) \tag{2.1}$$

for each $x \in M$.

In [4] it is proved that any Jordan derivation (i.e. a linear map satisfying the above equation) on a semi-prime algebra is a derivation. Since every von Neumann algebra is semi-prime, in the case of von Neumann algebras in order to prove that a 2-local derivation $\Delta : M \to M$ is a derivation it is sufficient to prove that $\Delta : M \to M$ is additive.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. Then any 2-local derivation $\Delta : M \to M$ is a derivation.*

For the proof of this theorem we need several lemmata.

For a self-adjoint subset $S \subseteq M$ denote by S' is the commutant of S, i.e.

$$S' = \{y \in B(H) : xy = yx, \forall\, x \in S\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g \in M$ be a self-adjoint element and let $\mathcal{W}^*(g) = \{g\}''$ be the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by the element g. Then there exists an element $a \in M$ such that*

$$\Delta(x) = ax - xa$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(g)$. In particular, Δ is additive on $\mathcal{W}^*(g)$.

Proof. By the definition there exists an element $a \in M$ (depending on g) such that

$$\Delta(g) = ag - ga.$$

Let us show that $\Delta(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(g)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(g)$ be an arbitrary element. There exists an element $b \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(g) = [b, g],\ \Delta(x) = [b, x].$$

Since

$$[a, g] = \Delta(g) = [b, g],$$

we get

$$(b - a)g = g(b - a).$$

Thus

$$b - a \in \{g\}' = \{g\}''' = \mathcal{W}^*(g)',$$

i.e. $b - a$ commutes with any element from $\mathcal{W}^*(g)$. Therefore

$$\Delta(x) = [b, x] = [b - a, x] + [a, x] = [a, x]$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(g)$. The proof is complete. □

In the following two lemmata we suppose that M is an *infinite* von Neumann algebra.

Lemma 2.3. *The restriction $\Delta|_{M_{sa}}$ of the 2-local derivation Δ onto the set M_{sa} of all self-adjoint of M is additive.*

Proof. Let $P(M)$ denote the lattice of all projections of the von Neumann algebra M. Recall that a map $m : P(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called *a signed measure (or charge)* if $m(e_1 + e_2) = m(e_1) + m(e_2)$ for arbitrary orthogonal projections e_1, e_2 in M. A signed measure m is said to be *bounded* if $\sup\{|m(e)| : e \in P(M)\}$ is finite.

Firstly, let us show that for each $f \in M_*$ the restriction $f \circ \Delta|_{P(M)}$ of the superposition $f \circ \Delta(x) = f(\Delta(x)), x \in M$, onto the lattice $P(M)$ is a bounded signed measure, where M_* is the predual space of M identified with the space of all normal linear functionals on the von Neumann algebra M.

Let e_1, e_2 be orthogonal projections in M. Put $g = e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2$. By Lemma 2.2 there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\Delta(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(g)$. Since $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{W}^*(g)$, we obtain that

$$f \circ \Delta(e_1 + e_2) = f([a, e_1 + e_2]) = f([a, e_1]) + f([a, e_2]) = f \circ \Delta(e_1) + f \circ \Delta(e_2),$$

i.e. $f \circ \Delta$ is a signed measure. Taking into account that M is infinite, from [11, Theorem 29.5 and Theorem 30.08] we obtain that the signed measure $f \circ \Delta$ is bounded.

Now we can show that $\Delta|_{M_{sa}}$ is additive.

Since $f \circ \Delta|_{P(M)}$ is a bounded signed measure on $P(M)$, by Gleason Theorem for signed measures (see [11, Theorem 30.10], [5, Theorem B]) there exists a unique bounded linear functional $\widetilde{f}$ on M such that $\widetilde{f}|_{P(M)} = f \circ \Delta|_{P(M)}$. Let us show that $\widetilde{f}|_{M_{sa}} = f \circ \Delta|_{M_{sa}}$. Take an arbitrary element $x \in M_{sa}$. By Lemma 2.2 there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\Delta(y) = ay - ya$ for all $y \in \mathcal{W}^*(x)$. In particular, Δ is linear on $\mathcal{W}^*(x)$, and therefore $f \circ \Delta|_{\mathcal{W}^*(x)}$ is a bounded linear functional which is an extension of the measure $f \circ \Delta|_{P(\mathcal{W}^*(x))}$. By the uniqueness of the extension we have $\widetilde{f}(x) = f \circ \Delta(x)$. So $f \circ \Delta|_{M_{sa}}$ is a bounded linear functional for all $f \in M_*$. This means, in particular, that

$$f(\Delta(x + y)) = f(\Delta(x)) + f(\Delta(y)) = f(\Delta(x) + \Delta(y)),$$

i.e. $f(\Delta(x + y) - \Delta(x) - \Delta(y)) = 0$ for all $f \in M_*$. Since M_* separates points on M it follows that $\Delta(x + y) - \Delta(x) - \Delta(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in M_{sa}$, i.e. $\Delta|_{M_{sa}}$ is additive. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.4. *There exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_a(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in M_{sa}$.*

Proof. Consider the extension $\widetilde{\Delta}$ of $\Delta|_{M_{sa}}$ on M defined by:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(x_1 + ix_2) = \Delta(x_1) + i\Delta(x_2),\ x_1, x_2 \in M_{sa}.$$

Taking into account the homogeneity of Δ, Lemma 2.3 and the equality (2.1) we obtain that $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is a Jordan derivation on M. As we mentioned above by [4, Theorem 1] any Jordan derivation on a semi-prime algebra is a derivation. Since M is semi-prime $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is a derivation on M. Therefore there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\widetilde{\Delta}(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in M$. In particular, $\Delta(x) = D_a(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in M_{sa}$. The proof is complete. □

Now our aim is to show that if two 2-local derivations coincide on M_{sa} then they are equal on the whole von Neumann algebra M.

Further in Lemmata 2.5-2.9 we assume that Δ is a 2-local derivation on M such that $\Delta|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $x \in M$ and let e, f be projections in M such that $x = exf$. Then*

(i) $e\Delta(x)f = \Delta(x)$.
(ii) *if* $ef = 0$, *then* $\Delta(x) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Take a derivation D on M such that

$$\Delta(x) = D(x),\ \Delta(e) = D(e).$$

Taking into account $D(e) = \Delta(e) = 0$ and $x = ex$ we obtain

$$\Delta(x) = D(x) = D(ex) = D(e)x + eD(x) = eD(x) = e\Delta(x).$$

In a similar way $\Delta(x) = \Delta(x)f$. Therefore $e\Delta(x)f = \Delta(x)$.

(ii) Set $y = exf + fx^*e$. Take an element $a \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(x) = [a, x],\ \Delta(y) = [a, y].$$

Since y is self-adjoint, we have $\Delta(y) = 0$. Taking into account the assumption $exf = x$ by straightforward calculations we obtain that $e[a, y]f = e[a, x]f$. Thus

$$0 = e\Delta(y)f = e[a, y]f = e[a, x]f = e\Delta(x)f = \Delta(x).$$

The proof is complete. □

In the next four lemmata p, q are mutually orthogonal equivalent projections in M with $p + q = \mathbf{1}$.

Lemma 2.6. *If $x = pxq + qxp$, then $\Delta(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Take a derivation D on M such that

$$\Delta(x) = D(x),\ \Delta(p) = D(p).$$

Taking into account $D(p) = \Delta(p) = 0$ and $pxp = 0$ we have

$$p\Delta(x)p = pD(x)p = D(pxp) - D(p)xp - xpD(p) = D(0) = 0,$$

i.e. $p\Delta(x)p = 0$. Likewise $q\Delta(x)q = 0$.

Now we set $y = pxq$. Take an element $a \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(x) = [a, x],\ \Delta(y) = [a, y].$$

By Lemma 2.5 (ii) we have $\Delta(y) = 0$. Further

$$p\Delta(x)q = p[a, x]q = p[a, y]q = p\Delta(y)q = 0,$$

i.e.

$$p\Delta(x)q = 0.$$

Similarly $q\Delta(x)p = 0$. Therefore

$$\Delta(x) = (p + q)\Delta(x)(p + q) = p\Delta(x)p + p\Delta(x)q + q\Delta(x)p + q\Delta(x)q = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.7. *If $x = pxp$, then $\Delta(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Take a partial isometry u in M such that

$$u^*u = p,\ uu^* = q.$$

Set $y = xu^* + u$. Since

$$xu^* + u = pxu^*q + qup,$$

we have that $y = pyq + qyp$ and Lemma 2.6 implies that $\Delta(y) = 0$. Thus $\Delta(y^2) = \Delta(y)y + y\Delta(y) = 0$. Take an element $a \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(x) = [a, x],\ \Delta(y^2) = [a, y^2].$$

It is easy to see that

$$y^2 = (xu^* + u)^2 = (pxu^*q + qup)^2 = x + uxu^* = pxp + quxu^*q,$$

therefore $p[a, y^2]p = p[a, x]p$. Now from Lemma 2.5 (i) we obtain

$$\Delta(x) = p\Delta(x)p = p[a, x]p = p[a, y^2]p = p\Delta(y^2)p = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\Delta(x) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let x be an element such that $x = pxp$ and let $a \in M$ be an element such that $\Delta(x) = [a, x]$. If x is invertible in pMp then $a = pap + qaq$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7 $\Delta(x) = 0$, and therefore $p[a, x]q = 0$. Replacing x by pxp we obtain $pxppaq = 0$. Since $x = pxp$ is invertible in pMp , i.e. $bpxp = p$ for an appropriate $b \in pMp$, multiplying the last equality from the left side by this b we have $paq = 0$. Similarly $qap = 0$. Thus

$$a = (p + q)a(p + q) = pap + qaq.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let x be an arbitrary element in M. Then $p\Delta(x)p = 0$.*

Proof. Since Δ is homogeneous, we can assume that $\|x\| < 1$. Take a derivation D on M such that

$$\Delta(x) = D(x),\ \Delta(x - \mathbf{1}) = D(x - \mathbf{1}).$$

Then

$$\Delta(x - \mathbf{1}) = D(x - \mathbf{1}) = D(x) - D(\mathbf{1}) = D(x) = \Delta(x),$$

i.e.

$$\Delta(x - \mathbf{1}) = \Delta(x).$$

Thus replacing, if necessary, x by $x - \mathbf{1}$, we may assume that x is invertible. In particular, pxp is also invertible in pMp.

Now take an element $a \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(x) = [a, x],\ \Delta(pxp) = [a, pxp].$$

Since pxp is invertible in pMp, by Lemma 2.8 we have $a = pap + qaq$. Further

$$p\Delta(x)p = p[a, x]p = p[a, pxp]p = p\Delta(pxp)p = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.7 applied to the element pxp. Therefore

$$p\Delta(x)p = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let M be a type III von Neumann algebra and let $y \in M$ be an arbitrary element. If $pyp = 0$ for all projection with $p \sim \mathbf{1} - p$, then $y = 0$.*

Proof. Let $y = y_1 + iy_2$ be the decomposition of y into self-adjoint components and let p be a projection with $p \sim \mathbf{1} - p$. Then $py_1p + ipy_2p = 0$. Passing to the adjoint in this equality we obtain $py_1p - ipy_2p = 0$. Thus $py_1p = 0$ and $py_2p = 0$. Therefore it is suffices to consider the case where y is a self-adjoint element.

Let us show that $pyp = 0$ for all projection with $p \preceq \mathbf{1} - p$. Take an arbitrary projection $f \leq \mathbf{1} - p$ with $f \sim p$. If $f + p = \mathbf{1}$ then $p \sim \mathbf{1} - p$, and therefore $pyp = 0$. Suppose that $f + p \neq \mathbf{1}$. Since M is a type III von Neumann algebra, $\mathbf{1} - f - p$ is infinite. Therefore there exist mutually orthogonal equivalent projections f_1, f_2 such that $f_1 + f_2 = \mathbf{1} - f - p$. In this case we put $e = p + f_1$. Then it is clear that $e \sim \mathbf{1} - e$, and by the assumption of the lemma $eye = 0$. Multiplying from the both sides by p we obtain that $pyp = 0$.

Now suppose that $y \neq 0$ and consider the support $s(y)$ of the element y, i.e.

$$s(y) = \mathbf{1} - \sup\{e \in P(M) : ey = 0\}.$$

Let A be an arbitrary maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra in $s(y)Ms(y)$ containing the self-adjoint element y. It is known (see [6, Problem 6.9.19]) that if $\mathcal{R}$ is a von Neumann algebra with no abelian central summands, and A is a maximal abelian $*$- subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$, then A contains a projection e such that $c(e) = c(\mathbf{1} - e) = \mathbf{1}$ and $e \preceq \mathbf{1} - e$, where $c(e)$ denotes the central cover of the projection e. Applying this assertion to the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R} = s(y)Ms(y)$ we obtain that there exists a non zero projection p in A such that $p \preceq s(y) - p \leq \mathbf{1} - p$. Then from above we have $py = pyp = 0$. This contradicts with $0 \neq p \leq s(y)$. From this contradiction we obtain that $y = 0$. The proof is complete. □

Since the projection p (assumed that $p \sim q = \mathbf{1} - p$) in Lemma 2.9 is arbitrary, from Lemma 2.10 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.11. *Let M be a type III von Neumann algebra. If $\Delta|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$ then $\Delta \equiv 0$.*

Remark 2.12. Let e be a central projection in M. Since $D(e) = 0$ for an arbitrary derivation D, it is clear that $\Delta(e) = 0$ for any 2-local derivation Δ on M. Take $x \in M$ and let D be a derivation on M such that $\Delta(ex) = D(ex), \Delta(x) = D(x)$. Then we have $\Delta(ex) = D(ex) = D(e)x + eD(x) = e\Delta(x)$. This means that every 2-local derivation Δ maps eM into eM for each central projection $e \in M$, i.e. if necessary, we may consider the restriction of Δ onto eM.

Proof of the Theorem 2.1. By [3, Theorem] any 2-local derivation on a semi-finite von Neumann algebra is a derivation, therefore the above Remark implies that it is sufficient to consider only a type III von Neumann algebra M. By Lemma 2.4 there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_a(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in M_{sa}$. Consider the 2-local derivation $\Delta - D_a$. Since $(\Delta - D_a)|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$, Lemma 2.11 implies that $\Delta = D_a$. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

[1] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov, 2-local derivations and automorphisms on $B(H)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012) 15–18.

[2] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov, B. O. Nurjanov, A. K. Alauatdinov, Local and 2-local derivations on noncommutative Arens algebras, Mathematica Slovaca (to appear) http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1557

[3] Sh. A. Ayupov, F. N. Arzikulov, 2-local derivations on semi-finite von Neumann algebras, Glasgow Math. Jour. 56 (2014) 9–12.

[4] M.Bresar, Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988) 1003–1006.

[5] L.J. Bunce, J.D. Maitland Wright, The Mackey-Gleason problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (1992) 288–293.

[6] R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, Vol. IV, Birkhauser Boston, 1986.

[7] S. O. Kim, J. S. Kim, Local automorphisms and derivations on M_n, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 1389–1392.

[8] Y. Lin, T. Wong, A note on 2-local maps, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 49 (2006) 701–708.

[9] S. Sakai, C*-algebras and W*-algebras. Springer Verlag. 1971.

[10] P. Šemrl, Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997) 2677–2680.

[11] A.N. Sherstnev, Methods of bilinear forms in noncommutative theory of measure and integral. Moscow, Fizmatlit, 2008, 256 pp.

DORMON YOLI 29, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, 100125 TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN, AND THE ABDUS SALAM, INTERNATIONAL CENTRE, FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS (ICTP), TRIESTE, ITALY

E-mail address: sh_ayupov@mail.ru

CH. ABDIROV 1, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARAKALPAK STATE UNIVERSITY, NUKUS 230113, UZBEKISTAN

E-mail address: karim2006@mail.ru